



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/360,997	12/20/94	DASAN	V 82225.P712

E3M1/1219

ATTN: EDWARD W. SCOTT, IV  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

LEWIS, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2307

11

DATE MAILED:

12/19/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/360,997

Applicant(s)  
Dasan

Examiner  
Cheryl Lewis

Group Art Unit  
2307



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl Lewis (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Tom Coester (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 18, 1996

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26

Identification of prior art discussed:

Wheeler et al., Patent Number: 5,200,993 and McCain et al., Patent Number: 5,309,351

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner Lewis and Attorney Coester agreed that the claim limitations of claims 1-26 are patentable over the prior art of record, Wheeler et al. and McCain et al., cited in the Office Action, paper number 10, October 10, 1996.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

*Thomas E. Baker*  
SUPERVISORY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2300